

Case: 20-60121 Document: 00515324685 Page: 1 Date Filed: 02/27/2020

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE
CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI



TEL. 504-340-2700
600 S. MAESTRI PLACE,
Suite 115
NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

February 27, 2020

ARTHUR JOHNSTON, CLERK

Ms. Quintina Marie Thomas
P. O. Box 893
Honaunau, HI 96726-0893

No. 20-60121 Imperial Industrial Supply Co., et al v.
Quintina Thomas
USDC No. 2:19-CV-129

Dear Ms. Thomas,

We have docketed the appeal as shown above, and ask you to use the case number above in future inquiries.

Filings in this court are governed strictly by the Federal Rules of **Appellate** Procedure. We cannot accept motions submitted under the Federal Rules of **Civil** Procedure. We can address only those documents the court directs you to file, or proper motions filed in support of the appeal. See FED. R. APP. P. and 5TH CIR. R. 27 for guidance. We will not acknowledge or act upon documents not authorized by these rules.

All counsel who desire to appear in this case must electronically file a "Form for Appearance of Counsel" naming all parties represented within 14 days from this date, see FED. R. APP. P. 12(b) and 5TH CIR. R. 12. This form is available on our website www.ca5.uscourts.gov. Failure to electronically file this form will result in removing your name from our docket. Pro se parties are not required to file appearance forms.

Your motion to proceed in forma pauperis is pending in the district court.

ATTENTION ATTORNEYS: Attorneys are required to be a member of the Fifth Circuit Bar and to register for Electronic Case Filing. The "Application and Oath for Admission" form can be printed or downloaded from the Fifth Circuit's website, www.ca5.uscourts.gov. Information on Electronic Case Filing is available at www.ca5.uscourts.gov/cmecf/.

ATTENTION ATTORNEYS: Direct access to the electronic record on appeal (EROA) for pending appeals will be enabled by the U S District Court on a per case basis. Counsel can expect to receive notice once access to the EROA is available. Counsel must be

Case: 20-60121 Document: 00515324685 Page: 2 Date Filed: 02/27/2020

approved for electronic filing and must be listed in the case as attorney of record before access will be authorized. Instructions for accessing and downloading the EROA can be found on our website at <http://www.ca5.uscourts.gov/docs/default-source/forms/instructions-for-electronic-record-download-feature-of-cm>. Additionally, a link to the instructions will be included in the notice you receive from the district court.

Sealed documents, except for the presentence investigation report in criminal appeals, will not be included in the EROA. Access to sealed documents will continue to be provided by the district court only upon the filing and granting of a motion to view same in this court.

We recommend that you visit the Fifth Circuit's website, www.ca5.uscourts.gov and review material that will assist you during the appeal process. We especially call to your attention the Practitioner's Guide and the 5th Circuit Appeal Flow Chart, located in the Forms, Fees, and Guides tab.

ATTENTION: If you are filing Pro Se (without a lawyer) you can request to receive correspondence from the court and other parties by email and can also request to file pleadings through the court's electronic filing systems. Details explaining how you can request this are available on the Fifth Circuit website at <http://www.ca5.uscourts.gov/docs/default-source/forms/pro-se-filer-instructions>. This is not available for any pro se serving in confinement.

Sealing Documents on Appeal: Our court has a strong presumption of public access to our court's records, and the court scrutinizes any request by a party to seal pleadings, record excerpts, or other documents on our court docket. Counsel moving to seal matters must explain in particularity the necessity for sealing in our court. Counsel do not satisfy this burden by simply stating that the originating court sealed the matter, as the circumstances that justified sealing in the originating court may have changed or may not apply in an appellate proceeding. It is the obligation of counsel to justify a request to file under seal, just as it is their obligation to notify the court whenever sealing is no longer necessary. An unopposed motion to seal does not obviate a counsel's obligation to justify the motion to seal.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk



By: _____
Connie Brown, Deputy Clerk
504-310-7671

cc:

Mr. Steven Cavitt Cookston
Mr. John Houston Dollardhide

Case: 20-60121 Document: 00515324685 Page: 3 Date Filed: 02/27/2020

Mr. Arthur S. Johnston III
Mr. Harris Frederick Powers III

Case: 20-60121 Document: 00515324685 Page: 4 Date Filed: 02/27/2020

Provided below is the court's official caption. Please review the parties listed and advise the court immediately of any discrepancies. If you are required to file an appearance form, a complete list of the parties should be listed on the form exactly as they are listed on the caption.

Case No. 20-60121

IMPERIAL INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY COMPANY, doing business as Duramax
Power Equipment, doing business as Factory Authorized Outlets;
STEVEN L. FELDMAN; ANTHONY BUSTOS; ROBERT RASKIN,

Plaintiffs - Appellees

v.

QUINTINA MARIE THOMAS,

Defendant - Appellant